

Chiral Symmetry Breaking in the weakly coupled QED in a Magnetic Field*

Igor A. Shovkovy

Bogolyubov Institute for Theoretical Physics, 252143, Kiev, Ukraine

The catalysis of chiral symmetry breaking in the massless weakly coupled QED in a magnetic field is studied. It is shown that the effect is due to the dimensional reduction $D \rightarrow D - 2$ in the dynamics of fermion pairing in a magnetic field. The dynamical mass of fermions (energy gap in the fermion spectrum) is determined. The temperature of the symmetry restoration is estimated analytically.

PACS number(s): 11.30Rd, 11.30Qc, 12.20Ds

Recently a new nonperturbative phenomenon, the catalysis of chiral symmetry breaking in an external magnetic field, was revealed in the massless weakly coupled QED [1,2]. The key observation which led to the new effect in QED came from the much simpler NJL model [4–6]. As was noticed there the catalysis induced by the magnetic field should have a universal (model-independent) character. The roots of the universality lie in the effective dimensional reduction $D \rightarrow D - 2$ in the fermion pairing which results from the dominance of the lowest Landau level (LLL) in the low-energy dynamics. As concrete models, the Nambu-Jona-Lasinio (NJL) model as well as QED in 2+1 and 3+1 dimensions were considered [1,2,4–10]. The effect of catalyzing the dynamical chiral symmetry breaking under the influence of a magnetic field was extended also to the case of external non-abelian chromomagnetic fields [11,12] and finite temperatures [3,13,14], as well as to the supersymmetric NJL model [15].

Here I shall briefly review the analysis of the effect in the weakly coupled QED₄ [1,2] at zero temperature and then consider the question of the chiral symmetry restoration at finite temperature [3].

The Lagrangian density of massless QED₄ in a magnetic field is

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}[\bar{\psi}, i\gamma^\mu D_\mu \psi], \quad (1)$$

where the covariant derivative D_μ is

$$D_\mu = \partial_\mu - ie(A_\mu^{ext} + A_\mu), \quad A_\mu^{ext} = \left(0, -\frac{B}{2}x_2, \frac{B}{2}x_1, 0\right). \quad (2)$$

Besides the Dirac index (n), the fermion field carries an additional flavor index $a = 1, 2, \dots, N_f$. The Lagrangian density (1) is invariant under the chiral $SU_L(N_f) \times SU_R(N_f) \times U_{L+R}(1)$ (here I do not discuss the anomaly connected with the current $j_{5\mu}$). As is known, there is no spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking at $B = 0$ in the weak coupling phase of QED [16]. However, the magnetic field changes the situation drastically: at $B \neq 0$ the chiral symmetry is broken down to $SU_V(N_f) \equiv SU_{L+R}(N_f)$ and there appear $N_f^2 - 1$ gapless Nambu-Goldstone (NG) bosons composed from fermion-antifermion pairs. The dynamical mass (energy gap) for a fermion can be defined by considering the Bethe-Salpeter (BS) equation for NG boson [1,2] or the Schwinger-Dyson (SD) equation for the dynamical mass function [8,9]. Here I follow the first approach.

The homogeneous BS equation for the $N_f^2 - 1$ NG bound states takes the form [17]:

$$\chi_{AB}^\beta(x, y; P) = -i \int d^4x_1 d^4y_1 d^4x_2 d^4y_2 G_{AA_1}(x, x_1) K_{A_1B_1;A_2B_2}(x_1y_1, x_2y_2) \chi_{A_2B_2}^\beta(x_2, y_2; P) G_{B_1B}(y_2, y), \quad (3)$$

where the BS wave function $\chi_{AB}^\beta = \langle 0 | T \psi_A(x) \bar{\psi}_B(y) | P; \beta \rangle$, $\beta = 1, \dots, N_f^2 - 1$, and the fermion propagator $G_{AB}(x, y) = \langle 0 | T \psi_A(x) \bar{\psi}_B(y) | 0 \rangle$; the indices $A = (na)$ and $B = (mb)$ include both Dirac (n, m) and flavor (a, b) indices.

The BS kernel in leading order in α is [17]:

$$\begin{aligned} K_{A_1B_1;A_2B_2}(x_1y_1, x_2y_2) = & -4\pi i \alpha \delta_{a_1a_2} \delta_{b_2b_1} \gamma_{n_1n_2}^\mu \gamma_{m_2m_1}^\nu \mathcal{D}_{\mu\nu}(y_2 - x_2) \delta(x_1 - x_2) \delta(y_1 - y_2) \\ & + 4\pi i \alpha \delta_{a_1b_1} \delta_{b_2a_2} \gamma_{n_1m_1}^\mu \gamma_{m_2n_2}^\nu \mathcal{D}_{\mu\nu}(x_1 - x_2) \delta(x_1 - y_1) \delta(x_2 - y_2), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where the photon propagator is

*This work is done in collaboration with V.P. Gusynin and V.A. Miransky [1,2,3]

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mu\nu}(x) = \frac{-i}{(2\pi)^4} \int d^4k e^{ikx} \left(g_{\mu\nu} - \lambda \frac{k_\mu k_\nu}{k^2} \right) \frac{1}{k^2} \quad (5)$$

(λ is a gauge parameter). The first term on the right-hand side of Eq.(4) corresponds to the ladder approximation. The second (annihilation) term does not contribute to the BS equation for NG bosons. Then the equation takes the form:

$$\chi_{AB}^\beta(x, y; P) = -4\pi\alpha \int d^4x_1 d^4y_1 S_{AA_1}(x, x_1) \delta_{a_1 a_2} \gamma_{n_1 n_2}^\mu \chi_{A_2 B_2}^\beta(x_1, y_1; P) \delta_{b_2 b_1} \gamma_{m_2 m_1}^\nu S_{B_1 B}(y_1, y) \mathcal{D}_{\mu\nu}(y_1 - x_1) , \quad (6)$$

where, since the lowest in α (ladder) approximation is used, the full fermion propagator $G_{AB}(x, y)$ is replaced by the propagator S of a free fermion (with the mass $m = m_{\text{dyn}}$) in a magnetic field.

Using the new variables, the center of mass coordinate $R = (x + y)/2$, and the relative coordinate $r = x - y$, Eq.(6) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\chi}_{nm}(R, r; P) &= -4\pi\alpha \int d^4R_1 d^4r_1 \tilde{S}_{nn_1} \left(R - R_1 + \frac{r - r_1}{2} \right) \gamma_{n_1 n_2}^\mu \tilde{\chi}_{n_2 m_2}(R_1, r_1; P) \gamma_{m_2 m_1}^\nu \\ &\tilde{S}_{m_1 m} \left(\frac{r - r_1}{2} - R + R_1 \right) \mathcal{D}_{\mu\nu}(-r_1) \exp[-ie(r + r_1)^\mu A_\mu^{\text{ext}}(R - R_1)] \times \exp[iP(R - R_1)] . \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Here the function $\tilde{\chi}_{nm}(R, r; P)$ is defined from the equation

$$\chi_{AB}^\beta(x, y; P) \equiv \langle 0 | T \psi_A(x) \bar{\psi}_B(y) | P, \beta \rangle = \lambda_{ab}^\beta e^{-iPR} \exp[ie r^\mu A_\mu^{\text{ext}}(R)] \tilde{\chi}_{nm}(R, r; P) \quad (8)$$

where λ_f^β are $N_f^2 - 1$ flavor matrices ($\text{tr}(\lambda^\beta \lambda^\gamma) = 2\delta^{\beta\gamma}$; $\beta, \gamma \equiv 1, \dots, N_f^2 - 1$). The important fact is that the only effect of translation symmetry breaking by the magnetic field is given by the Schwinger phase factor in Eq.(8). Thus, Eq.(7) admits the "translation invariant" solution $\tilde{\chi}_{nm}(R, r; P) = \tilde{\chi}(r; P)$. In momentum space, one obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\chi}_{nm}(p; P) &= -4\pi\alpha \int \frac{d^2q_\perp d^2R_\perp d^2k_\perp d^2k_\parallel}{(2\pi)^6} \exp[i(\mathbf{P}_\perp - \mathbf{q}_\perp)\mathbf{R}_\perp] \tilde{S}_{nn_1} \left(p_\parallel + \frac{P_\parallel}{2}, \mathbf{p}_\perp + e\mathbf{A}^{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{R}_\perp) + \frac{\mathbf{q}_\perp}{2} \right) \\ &\times \gamma_{n_1 n_2}^\mu \tilde{\chi}_{n_2 m_2}(k, P) \gamma_{m_2 m_1}^\nu \tilde{S}_{m_1 m} \left(p_\parallel - \frac{P_\parallel}{2}, \mathbf{p}_\perp + e\mathbf{A}^{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{R}_\perp) - \frac{\mathbf{q}_\perp}{2} \right) \mathcal{D}_{\mu\nu}(k_\parallel - p_\parallel, \mathbf{k}_\perp - \mathbf{p}_\perp - 2e\mathbf{A}^{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{R}_\perp)) \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

(recall that $p_\parallel \equiv (p^0, p^4)$, $\mathbf{p}_\perp \equiv (p^1, p^2)$). Henceforth I shall consider the equation with the total momentum $P_\mu \rightarrow 0$.

The crucial point for further analysis will be the assumption that $m_{\text{dyn}} \ll \sqrt{|eB|}$ and that the region mostly responsible for generating the mass is the infrared region with $k \lesssim \sqrt{|eB|}$. As it will be seen, this assumption is self-consistent. The assumption allows to replace the fermion propagator $\tilde{S}_{nm}$ by the pole contribution of the LLL:

$$\tilde{S}^{(0)}(k) = i \exp\left(-\frac{\mathbf{k}_\perp^2}{|eB|}\right) \frac{k^0 \gamma^0 - k^3 \gamma^3 + m_{\text{dyn}}}{k_0^2 - k_3^2 - m_{\text{dyn}}^2} (1 - i\gamma^1 \gamma^2 \text{sign}(eB)) , \quad (10)$$

and Eq.(9) transforms into the following one:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(p_\parallel, \mathbf{p}_\perp) &= \frac{2\alpha\ell^2}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-\ell^2 \mathbf{p}_\perp^2} \int d^2A_\perp d^2k_\perp d^2k_\parallel e^{-\ell^2 \mathbf{A}_\perp^2} (1 - i\gamma^1 \gamma^2) \gamma^\mu \\ &\times \frac{\hat{k}_\parallel + m_{\text{dyn}}}{k_\parallel^2 - m_{\text{dyn}}^2} \rho(k_\parallel, \mathbf{k}_\perp) \frac{\hat{k}_\parallel + m_{\text{dyn}}}{k_\parallel^2 - m_{\text{dyn}}^2} \gamma^\nu (1 - i\gamma^1 \gamma^2) \mathcal{D}_{\mu\nu}(k_\parallel - p_\parallel, \mathbf{k}_\perp - \mathbf{A}_\perp) , \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where $\rho(p_\parallel, \mathbf{p}_\perp) = (\hat{p}_\parallel - m_{\text{dyn}}) \tilde{\chi}(p) (\hat{p}_\parallel - m_{\text{dyn}})$ and $\ell = 1/\sqrt{|eB|}$ is the magnetic field length. Equation (11) implies that $\rho(p_\parallel, \mathbf{p}_\perp) = \exp(-\ell^2 \mathbf{p}_\perp^2) \varphi(p_\parallel)$, where $\varphi(p_\parallel)$ satisfies the equation

$$\varphi(p_\parallel) = \frac{\pi\alpha}{(2\pi)^4} \int d^2k_\parallel (1 - i\gamma^1 \gamma^2) \gamma^\mu \frac{\hat{k}_\parallel + m_{\text{dyn}}}{k_\parallel^2 - m_{\text{dyn}}^2} \varphi(k_\parallel) \frac{\hat{k}_\parallel + m_{\text{dyn}}}{k_\parallel^2 - m_{\text{dyn}}^2} \gamma^\nu (1 - i\gamma^1 \gamma^2) D_{\mu\nu}^\parallel(k_\parallel - p_\parallel) . \quad (12)$$

Here

$$D_{\mu\nu}^{\parallel}(k_{\parallel} - p_{\parallel}) = \int d^2 k_{\perp} \exp\left(-\frac{\ell^2 \mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2}{2}\right) \mathcal{D}_{\mu\nu}(k_{\parallel} - p_{\parallel}, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}) . \quad (13)$$

Thus, the BS equation has been reduced to a two-dimensional integral equation.

Henceforth I shall use Euclidean space with $k_4 = -ik^0$. Then, because of the symmetry $SO(2) \times SO(2) \times \mathcal{P}$ in a magnetic field, one arrives at the following matrix structure for $\varphi(p_{\parallel})$:

$$\varphi(p_{\parallel}) = \gamma_5 (A + i\gamma_1 \gamma_2 B + \hat{p}_{\parallel} C + i\gamma_1 \gamma_2 \hat{p}_{\parallel} D) \quad (14)$$

where A, B, C and D are functions of $p_{\parallel}^2$.

Let me begin the analysis of Eq.(12) by choosing the Feynman gauge. Then

$$D_{\mu\nu}^{\parallel}(k_{\parallel} - p_{\parallel}) = i\delta_{\mu\nu} \pi \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dx \exp(-\ell^2 x/2)}{(k_{\parallel} - p_{\parallel})^2 + x} , \quad (15)$$

and, substituting the expression (14) for $\varphi(p_{\parallel})$ into Eq.(12), one finds that $B = -A$, $C = D = 0$, and the function $A(p_{\parallel})$ satisfies (henceforth I omit the subscript $\parallel$ in momenta) the equation:

$$A(p) = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi^2} \int \frac{d^2 k A(k)}{k^2 + m_{\text{dyn}}^2} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dx \exp(-\ell^2 x/2)}{(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p})^2 + x} . \quad (16)$$

This equation has been analyzed in [2,9]. Particularly, as was shown in [2] (see Appendix C), in the case of weak coupling α , the mass function $A(p)$ remains almost constant in the range of momenta $0 < p^2 \lesssim 1/\ell^2$ and decays like $1/p^2$ outside that region. To get an estimate for m_{dyn} at $\alpha \ll 1$, the external momentum is set to be zero. Then the main contribution of the integral is formed in the infrared region with $k^2 \lesssim 1/\ell^2$. The latter validates in its turn the substitution $A(k) \rightarrow A(0)$ in the integrand of (16), and finally one comes to the following gap equation

$$A(0) \simeq \frac{\alpha}{2\pi^2} A(0) \int \frac{d^2 k}{k^2 + m_{\text{dyn}}^2} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dx \exp(-x\ell^2/2)}{k^2 + x} , \quad (17)$$

i.e.

$$1 \simeq \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dx \exp(-m_{\text{dyn}}^2 \ell^2 x/2)}{x - 1} \log x \simeq \frac{\alpha}{4\pi} \log^2 \left(\frac{m_{\text{dyn}}^2 \ell^2}{2} \right) . \quad (18)$$

The latter leads to the following expression for the dynamical mass:

$$m_{\text{dyn}} \simeq C \sqrt{|eB|} \exp \left[-\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\alpha}} \right] , \quad (19)$$

where C is a constant of order one. The exponential factor displays the nonperturbative nature of this result.

In order to avoid any confusion let me mention that the electron self-energy (under the influence of a strong external magnetic field) in the standard perturbation theory [18,19] also reveals the double logarithmic asymptotics. However, the chiral limit which of the prime interest here is unreachable in the framework of the perturbation theory. The latter explains why the results known for several decades did not lead to what is called here the catalysis of chiral symmetry breaking by a magnetic field.

More accurate analysis which takes into account the momentum dependence of the mass function leads to the result [2]

$$m_{\text{dyn}} \simeq C \sqrt{|eB|} \exp \left[-\frac{\pi}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2\alpha}} \right] . \quad (20)$$

Notice that the ratio of the powers of this exponent and that in Eq.(19) is $\pi/2\sqrt{2} \simeq 1.1$, thus the approximation used above is rather reliable.

It is worth to note that Eq.(16) reduces to the two-dimensional (another manifestation of the effective dimensional reduction in the dynamics of fermion pairing) Schrödinger equation

$$(-\Delta + m_{\text{dyn}}^2 + V(\mathbf{r}))\Psi(\mathbf{r}) = 0, \quad (21)$$

where the wave function $\Psi(\mathbf{r})$ is defined as follows

$$\Psi(\mathbf{r}) = \int \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{A(k)}{k^2 + m_{\text{dyn}}^2} e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{r}}, \quad (22)$$

and the potential $V(\mathbf{r})$ reads

$$V(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\alpha}{\pi\ell^2} \exp\left(\frac{r^2}{2\ell^2}\right) Ei\left(-\frac{r^2}{2\ell^2}\right) \quad (23)$$

Note that this potential has the asymptotics $V(\mathbf{r}) \simeq -2\alpha/\pi r^2$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. The Schrödinger equation with such potentials was studied in [20]. Their result for $E(\alpha)$ agrees with the solution in (20).

As is known, the ladder approximation is not gauge invariant. However, the consideration of the general covariant gauge [2] shows that the leading term in $\ln(m_{\text{dyn}}^2\ell^2)$, namely $\ln(m_{\text{dyn}}^2\ell^2) \simeq -\pi\sqrt{\pi/2\alpha}$, is the same in all covariant gauges. Thus, in spite of absence of the gauge invariance in the ladder approximation, there are no doubts about the existence of the effect.

Up to now I have considered the ladder approximation in QED in a magnetic field. Let me say several words about higher order contributions (such as vacuum polarization). As is shown in [2], taking into account the vacuum polarization results in an equation similar to (16) but with α replaced by $\alpha/2$. Thus, despite the smallness of α the expansion in α is broken in the infrared region in the model. It is a challenge to define the class of all relevant diagrams in QED in a magnetic field. Since the QED coupling constant is weak in the infrared region, this problem, though hard, seems not to be hopeless.

Now let me turn to the case of finite temperature. For introducing the Matsubara technique [21], one have to change all the expressions in momentum representation according to the rule:

$$\int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \rightarrow iT \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3}, \quad (24)$$

$$k^0 \rightarrow i\omega_n; \quad \omega_n = \pi T(2n+1). \quad (25)$$

Thus, the analogue of the equation (16) (with $m^2(T)$ in the denominator instead of m_{dyn}^2) reads

$$A(\omega_{n'}, p) = \frac{\alpha}{\pi} T \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk A(\omega_n, k)}{\omega_n^2 + k^2 + m^2(T)} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dx \exp(-x\ell^2/2)}{(\omega_n - \omega_{n'})^2 + (k-p)^2 + x}, \quad (26)$$

Now if one takes $n' = 0, p = 0$ in the left hand side of Eq.(26) and puts $A(\omega_n, k) \approx A(\omega_0, 0) = \text{const}$ in the integrand, he obtains the equation

$$1 = \frac{\alpha}{\pi} T \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk}{\omega_n^2 + k^2 + m^2(T)} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dx \exp(-x\ell^2/2)}{(\omega_n - \omega_0)^2 + k^2 + x}. \quad (27)$$

It is easy to check that the gap equation (27) coincides with the equation (58) in [14].

The sum in (27) is easily performed:

$$1 = \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dk dx \exp[-x\ell^2/2]}{[(\pi T)^2 + x - m^2(T)]^2 + (2\pi T)^2(k^2 + m^2(T))} \\ \times \left\{ \frac{(\pi T)^2 + x - m^2(T)}{\sqrt{k^2 + m^2(T)}} \tanh\left(\frac{\sqrt{k^2 + m^2(T)}}{2T}\right) + \frac{(\pi T)^2 + m^2(T) - x}{\sqrt{k^2 + x}} \coth\left(\frac{\sqrt{k^2 + x}}{2T}\right) \right\}. \quad (28)$$

In the limit $T \rightarrow 0$, Eq.(28) reduces to the following one

$$1 = \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dk dx \exp[-x\ell^2/2]}{\sqrt{k^2 + x} \sqrt{k^2 + m_{\text{dyn}}^2} (\sqrt{k^2 + x} + \sqrt{k^2 + m_{\text{dyn}}^2})}, \quad (29)$$

which is just what one obtains from Eq.(17) after performing the integration over $k_4 = -ik_0$.

The equation for the critical temperature is obtained from (28) by putting $m(T_c) = 0$:

$$1 = \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{dk dx e^{-2x(\pi T_c \ell)^2}}{[1/4 + x]^2 + k^2} \left\{ \frac{1/4 + x}{k} \tanh(\pi k) + \frac{1/4 - x}{\sqrt{k^2 + x}} \coth\left(\pi \sqrt{k^2 + x}\right) \right\}, \quad (30)$$

where the change of the variables $x \rightarrow (2\pi T_c)^2 x$ and $k \rightarrow 2\pi T_c k$ was made.

By assuming smallness of the critical temperature in comparison with the scale put by the magnetic field, $T_c \ell \ll 1$, it is seen that the double logarithmic in field contribution in Eq.(30) comes from the region $0 < x \lesssim 1/2(\pi T_c \ell)^2$, $1/\pi \lesssim k < \infty$. Simple estimate gives:

$$1 \simeq \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \int_0^{1/2(\pi T_c \ell)^2} dx \int_{1/\pi}^\infty \frac{dk}{[1/4 + x]^2 + k^2} \left[\frac{1/4 + x}{k} + \frac{1/4 - x}{\sqrt{k^2 + x}} \right] \simeq \frac{\alpha}{4\pi} \log^2 \left[\frac{1}{2(\pi T_c \ell)^2} \right]. \quad (31)$$

Then, for the critical temperature, one obtains:

$$T_c \approx \sqrt{|eB|} \exp \left[-\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\alpha}} \right] \approx m_{\text{dyn}}(T = 0), \quad (32)$$

where m_{dyn} is given by (19). The relationship $T_c \approx m_{\text{dyn}}$ between the critical temperature and the zero temperature fermion mass was obtained also in NJL model in (2+1)- and (3+1)-dimensions [6,12].

In passing, let me just briefly note that the photon thermal mass, which is of the order of $\sqrt{\alpha}T$ [22], cannot change our result for the critical temperature (unlike the vacuum polarization). As is easy to check, it would lead to the shift in x for a constant of the order of α in the integrand of (31). However, such a shift is absolutely irrelevant for our estimate (32).

In conclusion, the main result of this paper is the analytic estimate for the dynamical mass and the temperature of the chiral symmetry restoration in the weakly interacting QED in a background magnetic field.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank the organizers of both the International Workshop "Mathematical Physics - today, Priority Technologies – for tomorrow" and the International School of Subnuclear Physics "Highlights: 50 Years Later" for giving me an opportunity to present this talk. I am also grateful to my collaborators V.P. Gusynin and V.A. Miransky.

This study is supported by Foundation of Fundamental Researches of Ministry of Sciences of the Ukraine under grant No 2.5.1/003.

-
- [1] V.P. Gusynin, V.A. Miransky, and I.A. Shovkovy, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 4747 (1995).
 - [2] V.P. Gusynin, V.A. Miransky, and I.A. Shovkovy, Nucl. Phys. B **462**, 249 (1996).
 - [3] V.P. Gusynin and I.A. Shovkovy, *Chiral Symmetry Breaking in QED in a Magnetic Field at Finite Temperature*. hep-ph/9704392. to appear in Phys. Rev. D **56** (1997).
 - [4] V.P. Gusynin, V.A. Miransky, and I.A. Shovkovy, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 3499 (1994).
 - [5] V.P. Gusynin, V.A. Miransky and I.A. Shovkovy, Phys. Lett. B **349**, 477 (1995).
 - [6] V.P. Gusynin, V.A. Miransky and I.A. Shovkovy, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 4718 (1995).
 - [7] K.G. Klimenko, Z. Phys. C **54**, 323 (1992).
 - [8] C.N. Leung, Y.J. Ng, and A.W. Ackley, Phys. Rev. D **54**, 4181 (1996).
 - [9] D.K. Hong, Y. Kim and S.-J. Sin, Phys. Rev. D **54**, 7879 (1996).
 - [10] A.V. Shpagin, *Dynamical mass generation in (2+1)-dimensional electrodynamics in external magnetic field*, hep-ph/9611412 (submitted to Physics of Atomic Nuclei).
 - [11] I.A. Shovkovy and V.M. Turkowski, Phys. Lett. B **367**, 213 (1996).
 - [12] D. Ebert and V.Ch. Zhukovsky, *Chiral phase transitions in strong chromomagnetic fields at finite temperature and dimensional reduction*, hep-ph/9701323.

- [13] I.A. Shushpanov and A.V. Smilga, Phys. Lett. B**402**, 351 (1997).
- [14] D.-S. Lee, C. N. Leung, and Y. J. Ng, Phys. Rev. D**55**, 6504 (1997).
- [15] V.Elias, D.G.C.McKeon, V.A.Miransky, and I.A.Shovkovy, Phys. Rev. D**54**, 7884 (1996).
- [16] P.I. Fomin, V.P. Gusynin, V.A. Miransky, and Yu.A. Sitenko, Riv. Nuovo Cim. **6**, N5 (1983).
- [17] V. A. Miransky, *Dynamical Symmetry Breaking in Quantum Field Theories* (World Scientific Co., Singapore, 1993).
- [18] B. Jancovici, Phys. Rev. **187**, 2275 (1969).
- [19] Yu.M. Loskutov and V.V. Skobelev, Theor. Mat. Fiz. **48**, 44 (1981).
- [20] A. M. Perelomov and V. S. Popov. Theor. Mat. Fiz. **4** 664 (1970).
- [21] A.A. Abrikosov, L.P. Gorkov and I.E. Dzyaloshinski, *Methods of Quantum Field Theory in Statistical Physics* (Nauka, Moscow, 1962).
- [22] H.A. Weldon, Phys. Rev. D**26**, 1394 (1982).